

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

		·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ·	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,040	02/04/2004	Robert F. Rioux	03-253 US 3903	
23410 Vista IP Law G	7590 05/10/2007 Froup LLP		EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN ST	REET, 9TH FLOOR	PEFFLEY, MICHAEL F		
IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/772,040	RIOUX ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael Peffley	3739	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief			T			
Scrote the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Peffley	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress			
THE REPLY FILED 01 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in once with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba lidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which SFR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	ion.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing data.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off ite of the final rejection,	riate extension fee ice ection; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	insion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	•	jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTO: 204)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amenoment	(PTUL-324).			
7, 100		time also tilmet amangelens	ont enneoline the			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable it submitted in a separate,	timely liled amendim	ent canceling me			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 62-67.						
Claim(s) dejected to: <u>82-67</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-46 and 57-61</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	of before or on the date of filing a North sufficient reasons why the affidation	olice of Appeal Will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	or be entered is necessary and			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:	U	notal 815	Çey			
	1	Michael Peffley Primary Examiner				
		Art Unit: 3739	)			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has removed the language that the porous structure extended "along a substantial entirety of the shaft". Contrary to applicant's assertions, the examiner did give patentable weight to this limitation and its removal from the claim language now opens a new interpretation of the prior art thereby raising new issues.